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NOTES FROM THE CURRICULUM LABORATORY

The Curriculum Laboratory associated with the Teachers College course MSTM 6022: Mathematics Curriculum Development joined with the Consortium on Mathematics and its Applications (COMAP) to address the Mathematical Modeling “cognitive category” of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). While many of the CCSS recommendations addressed familiar cognitive categories such as Number and Quantity, Algebra, and Geometry, the category of Mathematical Modeling is unfamiliar to many educators. Indeed, mathematicians differ in the interpretations of mathematical modeling and mathematics educators are unsure of how to teach the modeling process, often confusing it with problem solving.

Participants in the 2010-2011 Curriculum Laboratory interpret mathematical modeling as a “disposition to mathematize,” that is, the recognition of opportunities to portray real world events and situations in mathematical form. To actualize this interpretation for schools and teachers, Laboratory participants prepared the thirty mathematical modeling lessons that comprise the Teachers College Mathematical Modeling Handbook published by COMAP.

The Laboratory’s Board of Editors, Heather Gould, Diane Murray, and Andrew Sanfratello, guided the preparation of these notes from the Curriculum Laboratory. While the actual lessons that appear in the COMAP publication are complete with teacher’s notes, black-line masters, answers and extensions, the JMETC Notes are abbreviated descriptions that focus upon the goal of creating a “disposition” toward mathematization. These notes illustrate how a mathematical disposition can be achieved utilizing everyday real-world artifacts such as weather maps, parking, rainfall estimates, fairness, and packing oranges.

Notes from the Curriculum Laboratory begins with a brief view of the Laboratory’s interpretation of mathematical modeling contributed by Dr. Henry O. Pollack, followed by descriptions of some of the Laboratory’s modeling lessons. For complete details and teaching materials for all thirty (30) Handbook lessons, please consult the COMAP publication or visit the online version at www.comap.com/NCTM.html.

Bruce R. Vogeli
where to lower a diver so that he can salvage sunken treasure from various sites. Although the context is markedly different from that of going shopping, students should be aware of the flexibility of their mathematical models.

Modeling provides a unique opportunity to identify real-life problems and questions and investigate them mathematically. At present, the dearth of materials for mathematical modeling amplifies the need for capable instructors and curriculum designers. Through a combination of creativity and flexibility, teachers can work alongside students to explore and expand upon issues encountered in daily life, illuminating underlying mathematical structures in the process. In this way, we hope to instill in students the belief that they can use formal mathematics to great effect well beyond the classroom walls.
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Meteorology: Describing and Predicting the Weather—An Activity in Mathematical Modeling

Heather Gould
Stone Ridge, New York

Websites such as weather.com usually don’t give the actual current temperature at your location—it’s an educated estimate! This modeling activity begins with students exploring the distribution of temperatures across a “map” and ends with the students using mathematical models to estimate the temperature at any given point on that map.

On the first day of the two-day modeling activity intended for algebra classes, students are asked to consider a map with points at which the temperature is known (known-temperature points) and use the map and their experience with temperature to determine how temperature changes over distance from known-temperature points. They should conclude that the temperature changes linearly. The challenge arises when they must find a way to estimate temperature at a point not collinear with any two other points at which the temperature is known (Figure 1). Creative students will solve this problem employing a variety of methods, but each must be based on the assumption of linearity. To determine students’ understanding, they are asked to use the model to check if there will be freezing rain along a given route.

![Figure 1](image_url)

On the second day, students are encouraged to use the graph of a linear function to model the same situations as in the previous day. By doing this, students learn about the properties of linear functions and their graphs. Students who chose to use this method will deepen their understanding and students who chose to use different models are able to make connections between their model and linear functions.

Teachers who employ modeling activities will be surprised at the variety of methods and models that students create, as well as the wealth of discussions that they cause. For example, in determining how the temperature changes with respect to distance, students use their experience to determine that temperature change must be continuous: it is unreasonable to assume that there might be a sudden “jump” in temperature or there might be a “hole” in which no temperature exists. This helps students understand the definition of mathematical continuity. Debate may result during the process of identifying the rate at which temperature changes over a distance: such discussion gives students the opportunity to explore characteristics of various functions. Students should conclude that a function with a constant rate of change—a linear function, as they will learn—is the most reasonable function to begin modeling temperature change. This may change once the model has been constructed and tested, as students may find it necessary to refine the linear model, particularly when applying it to the real world; in doing so, students learn to consider which variables to ignore for the sake of ease and the particular constraints of their model. They learn that models can be refined to include these variables and constraints once a preliminary model is produced and tested.

Further discussion should address the different methods used when the point at which the temperature needing to be estimated is not collinear with two known-temperature points. Two examples of models students may create are shown in Figure 2. On the left, a student uses the concept of linearity to approximate the temperature twice:
the first approximation is the point of intersection of the line through two known-temperature points and the second uses the line through the remaining known-temperature point and the point in question. On the right, the student approximates the temperature at the midpoints of the triangle. Another triangle is created and the student continues to use midpoint approximations for the triangle containing the point in question until a reasonably accurate estimate is found. Both methods result in similar answers (depending on the students’ attention to accuracy), are valid, and use the concept of linearity. This gives the students a chance to experience the idea that there is usually no one “right” way to solve a mathematics problem and each has its own merits and demerits.

Figure 2

Mathematical modeling should be used in a classroom to teach students that mathematics can be found in many real-world situations; the mathematics necessary to solve a problem within that situation already may be known or new methods may need to be invented. Mathematical modeling activities like the one described here will help a student discover how mathematics is developed and how innovations occur.

Packing Oranges

Kai Chung Tam
Macau, PRC

We know how storekeepers stack oranges in a nice way (Figure 1), but Kepler asked if this is indeed the most efficient way and if there are no other competitive methods? Being inspired by Kepler’s problem of sphere packing, we will compare the efficiency of different ways of packing by looking at how many oranges are contained in a box of a certain size. How do we count the number of oranges? Theoretically, someone counts one-by-one until there are no more oranges. But when the amount is large, other techniques are needed to pursue the answer. Here is an important aspect of mathematical modeling: real-world situation comes first, the mathematics follows naturally. When Archimedes wanted to figure out the number of grains of sand that would fit in the universe, he came up with the idea of using repeated multiplication as a simpler way to represent very large numbers.

Figure 1. Stack of oranges leads to sphere packing problem

In the design of Packing Oranges, I use several scenarios in which there is potential mathematical content about packing. Here are the scenarios:

**Scenario 1:** Sam shows to the guests a full box of randomly arranged oranges, stating that anyone who is able to figure out the right number of oranges can take away as many oranges as s/he would like. Well, is it just a gimmick? Or by what means can we estimate quickly?

**Scenario 2:** Wait. How does Sam know the exact number of oranges? If not, can he be cheating? Sam is glad to tell us how he determined the number. He basically knows the total weight of the box of oranges (although the guests don’t know) and so he can divide the total by the weight of one orange.

**Scenario 3:** Suppose that we have successfully won the prize. How can we take away as many oranges as possible? Sam offers some (other, not huge) boxes that you can use, and the problem becomes not only counting, but also what kind of arrangement allows the most oranges in a certain container.

A variety of mathematical tasks go along with these scenarios. For example, using Scenario 1, students can begin by thinking about a simpler problem. Two-dimensional experiments can be used to verify a method of efficient counting, based on a division of the total area by the area of one circle. This method has an obvious flaw in that it does not count the spaces in between the circles; students are meant to discover this flaw and suggest an improvement based on the experimental results and
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