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Call for Papers
The “theme” of the fall issue of the Journal of Mathematics Education at Teachers College will be Technology. This “call for papers” is an invitation to mathematics education professionals, especially Teachers College students, alumni and friends, to submit articles of approximately 2500-3000 words describing research, experiments, projects, innovations, or practices related to technology in mathematics education. Articles should be submitted to Ms. Krystle Hecker at JMETC@tc.columbia.edu by September 1, 2011. The fall issue’s guest editor, Ms. Diane Murray, will send contributed articles to editorial panels for “blind review.” Reviews will be completed by October 1, 2011, and final drafts of selected papers are to be submitted by November 1, 2011. Publication is expected in late November, 2011.
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<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>Teaching Aids</td>
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NOTES FROM THE CURRICULUM LABORATORY

The Curriculum Laboratory associated with the Teachers College course MSTM 6022: Mathematics Curriculum Development joined with the Consortium on Mathematics and its Applications (COMAP) to address the Mathematical Modeling “cognitive category” of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). While many of the CCSS recommendations addressed familiar cognitive categories such as Number and Quantity, Algebra, and Geometry, the category of Mathematical Modeling is unfamiliar to many educators. Indeed, mathematicians differ in the interpretations of mathematical modeling and mathematics educators are unsure of how to teach the modeling process, often confusing it with problem solving.

Participants in the 2010-2011 Curriculum Laboratory interpret mathematical modeling as a “disposition to mathematize,” that is, the recognition of opportunities to portray real world events and situations in mathematical form. To actualize this interpretation for schools and teachers, Laboratory participants prepared the thirty mathematical modeling lessons that comprise the Teachers College Mathematical Modeling Handbook published by COMAP.

The Laboratory’s Board of Editors, Heather Gould, Diane Murray, and Andrew Sanfratello, guided the preparation of these notes from the Curriculum Laboratory. While the actual lessons that appear in the COMAP publication are complete with teacher’s notes, black-line masters, answers and extensions, the JMETC Notes are abbreviated descriptions that focus upon the goal of creating a “disposition” toward mathematization. These notes illustrate how a mathematical disposition can be achieved utilizing everyday real-world artifacts such as weather maps, parking, rainfall estimates, fairness, and packing oranges.

Notes from the Curriculum Laboratory begins with a brief view of the Laboratory’s interpretation of mathematical modeling contributed by Dr. Henry O. Pollack, followed by descriptions of some of the Laboratory’s modeling lessons. For complete details and teaching materials for all thirty (30) Handbook lessons, please consult the COMAP publication or visit the online version at www.comap.com/NCTM.html.

Bruce R. Vogeli
What is Mathematical Modeling?

Henry O. Pollak

People often say that the mathematics they learned in school and the mathematics that they use in their lives are very different and have little if anything to do with each other. The textbook or the teacher may have asked, for example, how long it takes to drive 20 miles at 40 miles per hour and accepted the answer of 30 minutes. But when you live 20 miles from the airport, the speed limit is 40 mph, and your cousin is due at 6 PM, does that mean you leave at 5:30? Your actual thinking may be quite different. This is rush hour. There are those intersections at which you don’t have the right of way. How long will it take to find a place to park? If you take the back way, the average drive may take longer, but there is much less variability in the total drive time. And you’d better find out when the plane is expected. But don’t forget that the arrival time they give you is the time the plane is expected to touch down on the runway, not when it will start discharging passengers at the gate. And so forth.

Our expectation is that the CCSS Modeling Standards will help to bridge the gulf between reasoning in the mathematics class and reasoning about a situation in the real world. So what do we mean by “mathematical modeling”? What’s different about reasoning in the outside world from reasoning in mathematics? The real situation usually has so many “angles” to it that you can’t take everything into account, so you decide which aspects are most important and you keep those. At this point, you have an idealized version of the real-world situation which you translate into mathematical terms. Now you have a mathematical model of the idealized question. Then you apply your mathematical instincts and knowledge to the model and get interesting insights, examples, approximations, theorems, and algorithms. You translate all this back into the real-world situation and you hope to have a theory for the idealized question. But you have to check back: are the results practical, the answers reasonable, the consequences acceptable? If so, great! If not, take another look at the choices you made at the beginning and try again. This entire process is what is called mathematical modeling.

Is all this new? Yes and no. Many scientific disciplines use mathematics in their development and practice and when scientists are careful they do indeed check which aspects of the situation they have kept and which they have chosen to ignore. Engineers and scientists, be they physical, social, or biological, have not expected mathematics to teach this for them within a scientific framework, although preparing for this kind of reasoning is part of mathematics. Can we just leave mathematical modeling to the scientists? We absolutely cannot. Mathematics education is clearly responsible for teaching how to use mathematics in everyday life and in intelligent citizenship, and let’s not forget it. But actually any separation from science is a delusion. Let’s admit it, both everyday life and intelligent citizenship often also involve scientific issues. So what really matters is learning and practicing the mathematical modeling process. The particular field of application—whether it is everyday life, being a good citizen, or understanding some piece of science—is less important than experiencing this thinking process.

Don’t we do this already? Don’t we already have “word problems? We have seen that “mathematical modeling” is not just a new and pretentious name for “word problem” or “problem solving” in the traditional sense. Word problems embody the hope that external images will lighten the atmosphere in which mathematics is done, but let’s not kid ourselves: the purpose of a word problem is only to practice the mathematics of the current chapter. Therefore an answer to a word problem is considered correct if the student finds the applicable algorithm and carries it out successfully. But mathematical modeling demands more. Yes, the mathematics you decided to use has to be done correctly, but, as we saw, the results must be examined in terms of the original external situation to check that they make sense. The fact that the mathematics that was carried out within the mathematical model is correct is not enough to make the total modeling process a success: the real-world results must also be reasonable in that they check with the real world.

Don’t get the impression that all of this is an unnatural demand on mathematics education. Far from it, it strengthens the affinity between pure mathematics and its applications. The heart of mathematical modeling, as we have seen, is problem finding before problem solving. So often in mathematics, we say “prove the following theorem” or “solve the following problem.” When we start at this point, we are ignoring the fact that finding the theorem or the right problem was a large part of the battle. By emphasizing the problem finding aspect, mathematical modeling brings back to mathematics education that aspect of our subject and greatly reinforces the unity of the total mathematical experience.

Reprinted from the COMAP publication, Teachers College Mathematical Modeling Handbook (2011)
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Review of applications will begin by November 15, 2011 and continue until the search is completed. Appointment begins September 2012.
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